## Test exam

## Introduction to Logic Minor Logic and Computation

**Exercise 1** (10 points). Argue by making use of a truth table whether the following argument is valid or not. If it is not valid specify a counter-example.

$$(p \land q) \rightarrow r, \neg q \lor r, p/\neg q$$

**Exercise 2** (10 points). Prove that  $\varphi \to \neg \psi$  is a contradiction iff  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are both tautologies.

**Exercise 3** (15 points). Translate the following sentences in the language of first-order predicate logic. Use the identity sign if necessary.

- (1) All students who passed the exam are pleased with themselves.
- (2) All students made at least two exams in this semester.
- (3) John passed only one exam.

**Exercise 4** (15 points). Consider the model  $\mathcal{M} = \langle D, I \rangle$ , where

$$D = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$$I(R) = \{\langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 3 \rangle, \langle 1, 4 \rangle, \langle 2, 3 \rangle, \langle 2, 4 \rangle, \langle 3, 4 \rangle\}$$

$$I(a) = 1; I(b) = 2; I(c) = 3; I(d) = 4$$

Argue whether the following sentences are true in this model or not.

- 1.  $\forall y (\exists x Rxy \leftrightarrow \exists z Ryz)$
- 2.  $\forall x \forall y \forall z ((Rxy \land Ryz) \rightarrow Rxz)$
- 3.  $\forall x \forall y (x = y \leftrightarrow (Rxy \leftrightarrow Ryx))$

**Exercise 5** (20 points). One of the following arguments is valid, the other is invalid.

$$\forall x (Ax \lor Bx), \ \forall x (Ax \to Cx), \ \exists x \neg Cx \ / \ \exists x Bx$$
$$\forall x \exists y \exists z (x \neq y \land x \neq z \land y \neq z \land Rxy \land Rxz) \ / \ \forall x \forall y (x \neq y \to (Rxy \lor Ryx))$$

If the argument is not valid, argue this fact by using a counter-model. If the argument is valid, give a proof.

**Exercise 6** (20 points). Show by means of a natural deduction that the following assertions are correct:

- 1.  $(p \lor (q \land r)) \vdash (p \lor r)$
- $2. \vdash (p \lor \neg p)$
- 3.  $\neg \exists x (Fx \land Gx) \vdash \forall x (Fx \rightarrow \neg Gx)$
- 4.  $\exists xAx \rightarrow \forall xBx, \exists x\neg Bx \vdash \neg \exists xAx$
- 5.  $(\exists xRax \land \forall xRxa) \rightarrow \forall xRax, \forall xRxa \vdash Rab$

Exercise 7 (10 points). Consider the following properties of relations.

- Transitivity (TR),
- Antisymmetry (AS),
- Irreflexivity (IR).

Exactly one of these properties follows logically from the other two combined. This means that if a relation has two of these properties, it has the third one as well.

Which of the properties follows from the other two? Motivate your answer with an argument.